

Past Performance Questionnaire

C. Geographic description of work performed under this contract (i.e., local, nationwide, worldwide, other Commands): Local: Spokane, Washington

Section 3: Respondent Identification

A. Respondent's name: Steve Irwin

B. Respondent's title: Project Manager

C. Respondent's phone/fax number/e-mail address: Steve Irwin Phone:509.244.7080 E-mail: stevei@takisaki.com

D. Length of time (number of years/months) respondent worked on subject contract and description of responsibility/position/role: 7 Months

E. Other suggested points of contact:

Section 4: Performance Information

In the table below, indicate your rating for the contractor's performance by placing an "X" in the appropriate block to the right of each question. Provide supporting information for each response in the space provided. Attach additional pages if more space is needed. The performance rating scale is defined as follows:

Table with 2 columns: Code, Performance Rating. Rows include E (EXCEPTIONAL), V (VERY GOOD), S (SATISFACTORY), M (MARGINAL), and U (UNSATISFACTORY) with detailed descriptions for each.

Past Performance Questionnaire

The 341st Contracting Squadron, Malmstrom AFB, Montana of the Air Force Global Strike Command is in the process of competitively selecting a source for Adding Anti-Ram Cables. Work consists of adding an anti-ram cable system and replacement of the existing gate with an anti-ram gate. The anti-ram cable system consists of posts, cable, cable hardware, and attaching system to existing cathodic protection system. The replacement of the existing gate with an anti-ram gate consists of removing existing gate, installing an electrical duct bank and pull boxes, pulling wires back to source, installing new wire from source through duct bank to load, and installing the new gate.

One of the considerations in proposal evaluation is the verification of the offerors' past performance on contracts or other work efforts which reflect the offeror's ability to perform on the proposed effort. We depend on information received from organizations such as yours, which have had first-hand experience with the offeror, for the evaluation of the offeror's performance on those contracts or work efforts.

Our areas of interest regarding the offeror are summarized in the enclosed questionnaire. In order to meet the acquisition milestones, we request your written response no later than 13 June 2016. This schedule will allow us sufficient time to analyze the data prior to the start of negotiations, evaluation boards, and/or selection of an apparent awardee.

To assist you in preparing your response and expediting your reply, the questionnaire may be filled out electronically and e-mailed to gregory.mcdonald.13@us.af.mil. Your completed questionnaire will become a part of the official source selection records.

Your assistance is greatly appreciated and your prompt response will be one of the keys to the successful and timely completion of this Source Selection.

MARK D. JEFFERSON
Contracting Officer
341st Contracting Squadron
7015 Goddard Drive
Malmstrom, AFB, Montana, 59402

1 Atch
Past Performance Questionnaire

Past Performance Questionnaire

Section 1: Contract Identification

A. Contractor (Company/Division): Sloan Security Group

B. Contractor Cage Code: 3C4D5

C. Contract Number:

D. Contract Type (e.g., FFP, FPIF, CPIF, CPFF, etc.): FFP

E. Program Title: Thorpe Rambo Gate

F. Brief Program Description: Upgrade existing access control point.

G. Program Phase (e.g., Engineering & Manufacturing Development (EMD)):

H. Period of Performance

- 1. Original Schedule (assuming all options exercised): Beginning Date 11-2012 through 7-2013
2. Current Schedule (assuming all options exercised): Beginning Date through
3. Reason for difference (if applicable):

I. Contract Dollar Value

- 1. Original maximum contract dollar value (assuming all options exercised): \$ 500,000
2. Current maximum contract dollar value (assuming all options exercised): \$
3. Reasons for difference between original and current contract dollar value (if applicable):

J. Description of work performed: Design provide and Install 2,500 LF Install Crash rated cable barrier, crash beam, concrete wall.

K. Was this a competitively awarded contract? [X] Yes [] No

L. Contractor's Role: [] Prime Contractor [X] Subcontractor [] Key Personnel

Note: If offeror holds or has held other contracts with your agency/organization in the last three (3) years, please complete separate Past Performance Questionnaire forms for those contracts as well.

Section 2: Customer or Agency Identification

A. Customer or agency name: Pine-Takisaki 8A Joint Venture

B. Customer or agency description (if applicable): USACE, US Air Force

Past Performance Questionnaire

N/A NOT APPLICABLE – Unable to provide a rating. Contract did not include performance for this aspect, performance was not observed, or information was not available. Do not know.

Note: The following are SAMPLE questions. Past Performance evaluation teams MUST construct a simple and concise Past Performance Questionnaire, tailored to seek out key information tied to the significant aspects of the planned acquisition. Avoid questions that can be answered with a “yes” or “no” but instead include probing and direct questions to draw out the required information desired.

The Contractor:	E	V	S	M	U	N/A
1. Provided experienced managers and supervisors with the technical and administrative abilities needed to meet contract requirements. <u>SUPPORTING INFORMATION:</u> <i>Superior onsite staff</i>	✓					
2. Demonstrated the ability to hire, maintain, and replace, if necessary, qualified personnel during the contract period. <u>SUPPORTING INFORMATION:</u>		✓				
3. Delegated authority to project managers and supervisors commensurate with contract requirements. <u>SUPPORTING INFORMATION:</u> <i>Top notch Supt.</i>		✓				
4. Home office participated in solving significant local problems. <u>SUPPORTING INFORMATION:</u> <i>They supported their field crew.</i>		✓				
5. Followed approved quality control plan. <u>SUPPORTING INFORMATION:</u>		✓				
6. Provided effective quality control and/or inspection procedures to meet contract requirements. <u>SUPPORTING INFORMATION:</u>		✓				
7. Corrected deficiencies in timely manner and pursuant to their quality control procedures.						✓

Past Performance Questionnaire


The Contractor:	E	V	S	M	U	N/A
<p><u>SUPPORTING INFORMATION:</u> <i>There were none</i></p>						✓
<p>8. Provided timely resolution of contract discrepancies. <u>SUPPORTING INFORMATION:</u> <i>None</i></p>						✓
<p>9. Identified problems as they occurred. <u>SUPPORTING INFORMATION:</u></p>		✓				
<p>10. Suggested alternative approaches to problems. <u>SUPPORTING INFORMATION:</u></p>		✓				
<p>11. Displayed initiative to solve problems. <u>SUPPORTING INFORMATION:</u></p>		✓				
<p>12. Developed realistic progress schedules. <u>SUPPORTING INFORMATION:</u></p>		✓				
<p>13. Met established project schedules. <u>SUPPORTING INFORMATION:</u></p>		✓				
<p>14. Provided timely resolution of warranty defects. <u>SUPPORTING INFORMATION:</u> <i>None</i></p>						✓
<p>15. Was responsive to contract changes. <u>SUPPORTING INFORMATION:</u></p>			✓			
<p>16. Provided adequate project supervision. <u>SUPPORTING INFORMATION:</u> <i>Very professional!</i></p>	✓					

Past Performance Questionnaire

The Contractor:	E	V	S	M	U	N/A
17. Obtained consent of surety for increases in bonding as work-in-progress increased. <u>SUPPORTING INFORMATION:</u>						✓
18. Paid subcontractors/suppliers in a timely manner. <u>SUPPORTING INFORMATION:</u> <i>Unaware of any issues</i>			✓			
19. Provided accurate and complete line item cost proposals including all aspects of work required for each task. <u>SUPPORTING INFORMATION:</u> <i>No changes</i>						✓
20. Cooperated with Government personnel after award. <u>SUPPORTING INFORMATION:</u>		✓				
21. Met or exceeded small business and small disadvantaged business goals set forth in the approved subcontracting plan. <u>SUPPORTING INFORMATION:</u>			✓			
22. How would you rate the contractor's overall performance on this contract? <u>SUPPORTING INFORMATION:</u> <i>We hope to do another project in the future</i>		✓				
23. Was the contractor ever issued a cure or show cause notice under the referenced contract? If yes, explain. <u>EXPLANATION:</u>						<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
24. Would you award another contract to this contractor? If not, explain. <u>EXPLANATION:</u>						<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Past Performance Questionnaire

Additional remarks:



Respondent's Signature



[click and pick the date]

Date

Thank you for your prompt response and assistance!

Please return this completed questionnaire to:

Mailing Address:

[Insert here]

FAX to:

E-Mail to: